THE FUTURE OF AUTOMATED MOBILE EYE DIAGNOSIS
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The current model of ophthalmic care requires the ophthalmologist’s involvement in data collection, diagnosis, treatment planning, and treatment execution. We hypothesize that ophthalmic data collection and diagnosis will be automated through mobile devices while the education, treatment planning, and fine dexterity tasks will continue to be performed at clinic visits and in the operating room by humans. Comprehensive automated mobile eye diagnosis includes the following steps: mobile diagnostic tests, image collection, image recognition and interpretation, integrative diagnostics, and user-friendly, mobile platforms. Completely automated mobile eye diagnosis will require improvements in each of these components, particularly image recognition and interpretation and integrative diagnostics. Once polished and integrated into greater medical practice, automated mobile eye diagnosis has the potential to increase access to ophthalmic care with reduced costs, increased efficiency, and increased accuracy of diagnosis.

Introduction

Current Model of Eye Care Data Collection

In a typical eye care clinic visit, a combination of an ophthalmic technician, nurse, optometrist, orthoptist, and/or ophthalmologist takes an ocular history then measures the patient’s visual acuity, intraocular pressure, pupil shape and diameter, presence of relative afferent pupillary defect, and the range of extraocular movements in each eye. Tools used include a slit lamp to view the external eye, anterior, and posterior chamber, a gonioscope to measure the iridocorneal angle, and a direct and/or indirect ophthalmoscope to view the fundus. If necessary, additional testing includes marginal reflex distance and levator function measurement, color vision testing, and optical coherence tomography, among others.

Once a diagnosis is made, a physician helps the patient decide between different treatment options, and provides education, medication, and/or a procedure as treatment.

Future Model of Eye Care Data Collection

With the dramatic rise in demand for ophthalmic care relative to the small increase in ophthalmologists, how can ophthalmologists continue to increase access to care? We hypothesize that ophthalmic diagnosis will be automated through mobile devices, with the help of support staff for education, treatment planning, and fine dexterity tasks. Transitioning to mobile as opposed to current fixed forms of diagnosis will increase accessibility to ophthalmic services, particularly in low-resource settings. There are 2.6 billion smartphone subscriptions today and 81% of health care professionals owned a smartphone in 2010—making the smartphone a highly accessible platform for automated diagnosis throughout the world.1,2 The result of the delegation of diagnosis to mobile devices may allow for faster, remote, low-cost diagnosis and disease monitoring.

Automated mobile eye diagnosis will require the integration of data captured from mobile software and hardware technology to create evidence-based
algorithms that formulate patient-specific, actionable recommendations. Comprehensive automated mobile eye diagnosis includes the following: mobile diagnostic tests, mobile image collection, image recognition and interpretation, integrative diagnostics, and user-friendly mobile platforms (Figure 1).

Mobile Diagnostic Tests

Mobile software applications that test visual acuity and visual fields include Peek Vision and SightBook. Refraction testing can be done on a computer screen through Opternative and Eye Netra. Peek Vision is also developing software capable of color and contrast testing. However, a comprehensive mobile exam is still limited by the lack of accurate mobile software and/or hardware that detect necessary values such as pupillary response, extraocular movements, and iridocorneal angle.

Mobile Image Collection

Direct visualization of eye pathology through slit lamp examination with or without additional fundoscopic imaging can be critical in narrowing the differential diagnosis. Ophthalmologists have already developed several methods of visualizing both the anterior and posterior chambers with mobile phones. A simple method of fundus imaging described by Haddock et al. involves the use of a smartphone and 20D lens with or without the Filmic Pro application to control the camera’s illumination (Cinegenix LLC, Seattle, WA, USA).

Supplemental hardware that facilitates image capture from mobile devices includes the PAXOS scope (Digisight Technologies, Portola Valley, CA, USA; licensed from inventors of the EyeGo Smartphone imaging adapter), Peek Vision, D-EYE (D-EYE, Padova, Italy), and the iExaminer System (Welch Allyn, Doral, FL, USA). The PAXOS scope is a combined anterior and posterior segment mobile imaging system with a built-in variable intensity light source and posterior adapter that can accommodate various indirect lenses. It provides a 56-degree static field of view. Similarly, Peek Vision includes a low-cost adapter clip with optics blanks that re-route light from the flash to the retina. The same adapter, coupled with supporting Peek Vision software, allows for grading of cataract severity and retinal imaging. D-EYE provides a 5 to 8-degree field of view in an undilated pupil, and up to a 20-degree field of view if moved as close to the anterior segment as possible. The iExaminer System provides a 25-degree field of view in an undilated eye. The system requires the Welch Allyn PanOptic Ophthalmoscope, iExaminer Adapter fit to an iPhone 4 or 4S (Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA, USA), and the iExaminer App.

Images taken by these devices are limited by mobile phone camera resolution and field of view, the latter being affected by the presence or absence of pupil dilation. Additionally, images that are unable to be graded due to user error or patient pathology (e.g. cataracts obscuring views of the posterior chamber) could be detrimental to the care of patients in systems that rely solely on mobile imaging. A recent study comparing the iExaminer System to standard fundus photography devices found lower image resolution and longer time required to take

Figure 1: The Pathway of Automated Mobile Eye Diagnosis. Existing hardware and software that may be incorporated into a future comprehensive diagnostic system.
images using the smartphone setup. However, a study comparing smartphone ophthalmoscopy with the D-EYE device to dilated retinal slit-lamp examination found exact agreement between the two methods in 204 of 240 eyes on grade of diabetic retinopathy. Notably the latter study was performed with an iPhone 5 (8-megapixel iSight camera) and the former with an iPhone 4 (5-megapixel still camera). Enhanced mobile imaging hardware will continue to improve the resolution, and wider-field imaging can be provided by pupil dilation (requiring a technician or nurse), laser scan imaging (Optos PLC, Marlborough, MA) or by integration with software that patches retina images together into a mosaic, such as i2k Retina software (DualAlign LLC, Clifton Park, New York, USA). User error can be overcome with increasing experience with mobile imaging. However, patient pathology that obscures views of the posterior chamber will ultimately limit mobile diagnosis.

Furthermore, current mobile imaging does not replace the scleral depressed indirect ophthalmoscopic exam that allows stereoscopic views of the indented retina anteriorly beyond the peripheral retina to the ora serrata and pars plana. This capability would be needed for evaluation of flashes or floaters—a common presentation in which the diagnosis of retinal tear, hole, or detachment must be ruled out over multiple visits.

Another limitation in mobile image capture is the lack of a slit-lamp device for assessing individual corneal layers and the anterior chamber, limiting the precision of diagnosis of corneal pathology and of cell and flare diagnostic of uveitis. Automated mobile eye diagnosis will require hardware or software that allows for large-field image capture of the fundus and visualization of the corneal layers and the anterior chamber.

**Image Recognition and Interpretation**

Following image capture, automated mobile diagnosis requires an interpretation system that detects multiple features of the anterior and posterior segments. The ideal system would recognize atypical color, contrast, shape, and size of all visible components of the eye. Anteriorly, it would be able to distinguish the lids from the lashes, sclera, conjunctiva, limbus, iris, cornea, pupil, and lens, and posteriorly, it should be able to distinguish between the optic disc, macula, vascular arcades, and peripheral retina. After recognizing the component parts of the eye, so called “segmentation”, the system should then be able to label the type of abnormality and its location within the anatomy of the eye. Lastly, for a system to expand healthcare delivery and access, it would need to provide some level of instruction. On the simplest level, this could involve determining if a patient should be referred to an ophthalmologist or screened again at a later date. As we will discuss, significant progress has already been made in accomplishing this.

One method of expanding access to specialty care is to build tools that enable primary care providers to make decisions that typically require training in a medical specialty. One strategy is creating systems that eliminate prior knowledge as a prerequisite for diagnosis. For example, the identification of a skin lesion usually requires the clinician to have studied the presentation, shape, color, texture and location of various skin lesions as well as have a sense of disease variation and overlap. VisualDx is a subscription-based website that walks users through step-by-step visual diagnosis of dermatologic conditions, including some overlap with ophthalmologic diagnoses. Three other similar sites include gonioscopy.org, oculonco.com, and ophthalmicedge.org.

Peek Vision’s software automates one component of image recognition, optic cup:disc ratio calculation, important for diagnosis of glaucomatous optic neuropathy. Additionally, a team has automated the quantification of the number, morphology, and reflective properties of drusen based on spectral domain-optical coherence tomography.

An alternative solution is crowdsourcing. In two studies, researchers demonstrated the utility of crowdsourcing of untrained people looking at retina images in automated diagnosis. However, variation in human interpretation, the number and experience of reviewers prevent its application.

Several research groups are developing algorithms for automated diagnosis. The most developed application of these algorithms is in the area of diabetic retinopathy screening in which many proposed algorithms reach sensitivity and specificity percentiles in the 90s. One of the most published algorithms is the Iowa Detection System, that has as its input a retina color photograph, and as its output, a number between 0 and 1. The closer the output is to 1, the more likely the patient has a stage of diabetic retinopathy that should be referred to an ophthalmologist or that the photograph is of...
insufficient quality to determine stage of diabetic retinopathy.\textsuperscript{22} It database includes numerous retinal images of racially diverse individuals taken using different camera types, and the Iowa Detection System been found to perform comparably to retina specialists.\textsuperscript{16} The best algorithm, however, remains a debatable issue as the algorithms are tested against human interpreters and with limited datasets where the gold standard is determined by another human interpreter or consensus of interpreters.\textsuperscript{23} Development of an algorithm capable of classifying disease outside of one spectrum of disease or of identifying the clinical significance of retinal findings remain an area of active research. We are living amidst a turning point in computing that has already revolutionized the field of computer vision and is set to change medical imaging.

Neural networks improve upon the algorithms for automated image recognition and interpretation. Neural networks are biologically inspired algorithms that learn to approximate a function (Figure 2). Their inputs can be composed of text, numbers or images. They contain interconnected layers of functions called “neurons” that receive inputs from neurons in the layer adjacent to themselves and pass their outputs to the next layer of neurons. The ultimate function that the neural network approximates is encoded in the strength of connection between neurons. These connections are fine tuned by training the neural network with correct inputs and outputs. For example, a retinal photograph with the correct diagnosis as the output. Neural networks have been in development since the 1940s, and many research groups have already applied these algorithms to interpretation of ophthalmic images.\textsuperscript{18,19} However recent advances in the availability of high performance computing hardware has made feasible the construction and training of neural networks that contain several layers, various schemes of interconnectedness, and several neurons in each layer. Due to the repeated stacking of many layers of neurons, this form of computation has been termed “deep learning” and lies at the core of artificial intelligence software such as Google self driving cars (Google Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA), voice recognition in phones, and Facebook facial recognition (Facebook Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA).

Most algorithms proposed thus far for image diagnosis, even those that use neural networks, are composed of specific, coded features used in combination for image recognition. Ophthalmic diagnosis, however, is a complex task that takes into account the location of lesions, macroscopic and microscopic structural changes, and textures difficult to describe even by ophthalmologists. Deep learning, although flawed by drawbacks such as overfitting and need for large training sets, makes few prior assumptions about the features needed to recognize
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\caption{General Architecture of Neural Network. General architecture of neural network with arbitrary number of neurons in each layer, number of layers, different schemes of interconnection between neurons in one layer and neurons in adjacent layer. It is fully connected, meaning that every neuron in a layer is connected to every neuron in its adjacent layer. Inputs are values such as pixel values from an image, and layers can be a one-dimensional row of neurons such as in the example or a three-dimensional volume such as in convolutional neural networks.}
\end{figure}
images. Deep learning learns features from the data
with which it is trained. In the next five years, there
will be a wave of literature describing the complex
tasks these algorithms can perform in automated
ophthalmic diagnosis.30,31

\textbf{Integrative Diagnostics}

Ultimately, all data points gathered need to be
integrated into one mobile system for diagnosis,
such as with artificial intelligence software. IBM
Watson, made famous by its \textit{Jeopardy!} win, is an
example of software that can integrate evidence-
based medical knowledge accurately and consistently
for automated diagnosis.32 IBM Watson's servers can
process 500 gigabytes of information per second—
the equivalent of 1 million books.32 IBM Watson's
conversion of this information into evidence-based
algorithms for diagnosis would provide the most up-
to-date diagnostic programming possible.

\textbf{User-Friendly Mobile Platform}

To deliver the final information to the patient and/
or physician, a user-friendly interface between the
mobile phone and user will be necessary. An ex-
ample of such an interface is Modernizing Medi-
cine's electronic medical assistant (EMA) iPad
application for ophthalmology, which integrates
published healthcare information and provides
physicians with treatment options and outcome
measures.33,34 While a physician-mobile device
interface may be useful in guiding treatment plans,
a patient-directed interface may ultimately provide
actionable steps for the patient to take before ever
seeing a physician for treatment.

\textbf{Discussion}

The future of automated mobile eye diagnosis lies
in improvements in each of the above components,
particularly image recognition and interpretation
and integrative diagnostics. With automated mobile
eye diagnosis, patients will have faster access to
information about their conditions to guide their
next steps. Automated diagnosis will be a reliable,
cost-effective, and accessible tool for individuals
across demographics to gather ophthalmologic
information necessary to understand and manage
their conditions.

\textbf{Benefits of Automated Eye Diagnosis}

Given the increased availability of wireless networks
and advancements in technology, automated eye
diagnosis will prove to be more cost-effective,
maculopathy instead of referable or proliferative retinopathy. Additionally, in 2010, researchers found that the algorithm for automated detection of diabetic retinopathy lagged only slightly behind the sensitivity and specificity of retinal specialists. As algorithms improve and are conducted on larger datasets, we believe that automation will outperform experts in sensitivity and specificity.

Lastly, the transmission of patient information over mobile devices necessitates strict and established protocols in patient consent and personal health information security. Software developers must always consider the security of patient information.

Next Steps
The first step in shifting the roles of ophthalmologists away from data collection will be training ancillary providers to collect the data necessary for diagnosis. These providers can then submit a digital representation of the information to software that gives instructions on treatment options and further testing, shifting the focus of ophthalmologists towards education, treatment planning, and treatment implementation.

Conclusion
We believe that automated mobile eye diagnosis using evidence-based algorithms will increase patient safety, improve access to ophthalmic services, and facilitate timely referrals. However, completely automated eye diagnosis will require improvements in image capture and recognition as well as automated integrative diagnostics. Once polished and integrated into greater medical practice, automated eye diagnosis has the potential to become a powerful tool to increase access to ophthalmic services worldwide.
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